

RatingsDirect®

Presale:

Utility Debt Securitization Authority Restructuring Bonds Series 2015

Primary Credit Analyst:

Jayashree Subramanian, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5045;
jayashree.subramanian@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contacts:

Anna Qi, New York 2124388430; chang.anna.qi@standardandpoors.com
Weili Chen, New York (1) 212-438-6587; weili.chen@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

\$985.30 Million Restructuring Bonds Series 2015

Rationale

Transaction Overview

Strengths

Weaknesses

Mitigating Factors

Prior Transaction and Proposed Future Transactions

Sector Outlook

Securitization Law And Financing Order

State Pledge

The Servicer And Customer Base

Table Of Contents (cont.)

Structural Analysis

Payment Waterfall

Cash Flow Analysis

Legal Considerations

Related Criteria And Research

Presale:

Utility Debt Securitization Authority Restructuring Bonds Series 2015

\$985.30 Million Restructuring Bonds Series 2015

This presale report is based on information as of Oct. 8, 2015. The ratings shown are preliminary. This report does not constitute a recommendation to buy, hold, or sell securities. Subsequent information may result in the assignment of final ratings that differ from the preliminary ratings.

Preliminary Ratings As Of Oct. 8, 2015

Class	Preliminary rating (i)	Tax status	Preliminary amount (\$)	Expected final maturity	Expected no. of payments	Legal final maturity
1	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	6,010,000	6/15/2021	1	6/15/2023
2	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	6,245,000	12/15/2021	1	12/15/2023
3	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	10,210,000	6/15/2022	1	6/15/2024
4	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	10,465,000	12/15/2022	1	12/15/2024
5	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	5,780,000	6/15/2023	1	6/15/2025
6	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	5,925,000	12/15/2023	1	12/15/2025
7	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	21,355,000	6/15/2024	1	6/15/2026
8	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	21,890,000	12/15/2024	1	12/15/2026
9	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	48,345,000	6/15/2025	1	6/15/2027
10	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	49,550,000	12/15/2025	1	12/15/2027
11	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	7,425,000	12/15/2026	2	12/15/2028
12	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	3,920,000	12/15/2027	2	12/15/2029
13	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	5,385,000	12/15/2028	2	12/15/2030
14	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	4,335,000	12/15/2029	2	12/15/2031
15	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	162,645,000	12/15/2030	2	12/15/2032
16	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	132,740,000	12/15/2031	2	12/15/2033
17	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	90,720,000	12/15/2032	2	12/15/2034
18	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	99,285,000	12/15/2033	2	12/15/2035
19	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	128,670,000	12/15/2034	2	12/15/2036
20	AAA (sf)	Tax-exempt	164,400,000	12/15/2035	2	12/15/2037
Total			985,300,000			

(i) The rating is preliminary and subject to change at any time.

Profile

Expected closing date	Oct. 27, 2015
Collateral	Restructuring property
Issuer	Utility Debt Securitization Authority
Servicer	Long Island Lighting Co.

Profile (cont.)

Indenture trustee	The Bank of New York Mellon
Payment dates	Payments are made semiannually; interest payments start June 2016 and principal payments start June 2021

Rationale

The preliminary ratings assigned to Utility Debt Securitization Authority's restructuring bonds series 2015 are based on the trust's structure and the issuer's irrevocable right to impose, charge, and collect nonbypassable electric-usage-based charges (restructuring charges) from retail electric delivery service customers of Long Island Lighting Co. (LIPA). LIPA is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Long Island Power Authority (the Authority), which is the seller of the restructuring property. The primary purpose of this issuance is to enable the Authority to retire certain of its outstanding indebtedness.

The nonbypassable charges are adjusted periodically based on updated assumptions to various factors--such as energy usage and charge-off rates--to ensure collections are sufficient to make all payments including debt service costs and ongoing financing costs due on the payment dates.


The issuer is a special-purpose corporate municipal instrumentality, body corporate and politic, political subdivision, and New York public benefit corporation created by the LIPA Reform Act's securitization law (Part B of Chapter 173, Laws of New York State, 2013). The issuer has no commercial operations and was formed solely to purchase and own the restructuring property, to issue the bonds to be secured by the restructuring property, and to perform any incidental activity.

Our preliminary ratings on the restructuring bonds reflect our view of each class' ability to withstand our cash flow stress scenarios and the transaction's reserve subaccount, which functions as a reserve account to cover potential shortfalls. The transaction also benefits from additional credit strength because of the length of the time between each tranche's expected and legal final maturity dates, which we accounted for in our analysis.

Transaction Overview

Our preliminary ratings address timely interest and full principal payments by each class' final legal maturity date, which under the preliminary structure is two years after each class' expected maturity date.

The following chart represents a general summary of the parties to the transactions underlying the offering of the 2015 restructuring bonds, their roles, and their various relationships to the other parties:



Strengths

In our view, the following factors strengthen the transaction:

- There is a true-up mechanism and irrevocable financing order that requires the servicers to file periodic adjustments (true-ups) to the restructuring charges. The true-ups aim to maintain sufficient cash flows for each class' timely repayment of interest, principal, administrative costs, the replenishment of the reserve subaccount, and the funding of various collection subaccounts. The servicer must file true-ups at least annually (after the first 12 months). True-ups may occur semi-annually if, following a mid-year review process, the servicer determines that collections will not be sufficient to make the anticipated scheduled payments. True-ups may occur as frequently as quarterly once any of the bonds are not repaid by the last scheduled maturity date of any series of bonds. There is sufficient time between each class' expected maturity date and legal final maturity date for the true-up mechanism to adjust the restructuring charges if necessary because of any shortfalls.
- There is no dollar cap limit in the financing order.
- The restructuring charges are cross-collateralized by all customer classes.

- Any successor to LIPA's electrical distribution systems must perform the servicing function.

Weaknesses

In our view, the following factors may weaken the transaction:

- The deal could experience significant forecasting variance due to inaccurate forecasting assumptions and unpredictable events (natural disasters).
- Electricity consumption can be volatile.
- There is reliance on the seller and its agents for their servicing practices to remain consistent and to not impair the restructuring charges. In addition, there is some commingling risk.
- In contrast to other recent utility securitizations backed by rate payer charges, this transaction has a greater annual debt service variance. In certain years when the scheduled principal is light, the scheduled collection will also be light. Interest payments, which cannot be missed, represent a greater percentage of the collection in those years and in our opinion have a higher level of liquidity risk.

Mitigating Factors

In our view, the following factors mitigate the restructuring bonds weaknesses:

- Under our stress assumptions, which include large decreases in electricity consumptions and the default of the top 10 customers near the end of the transaction, the deal still pays in full before the final maturity date of each series of bonds.
- The effect of consumption volatility is mitigated by the true-up and the ability to draw from the operating reserve subaccount and a debt service reserve subaccount. The operating reserve subaccount is funded with 0.50% of the initial bond principal amount. The debt service reserve account is funded with 1.5% of the bond principal amount outstanding minus the minimum expected amortization on the next payment date.
- The total amount of the restructuring charges on the typical retail customer's electric bill--both initially and in our stress scenarios--is not sufficient for us to consider the possibility of a situation in which customers' revolt and refuse to pay.
- We stressed the cash flows for potential collection losses due to the bankruptcy of the sellers as servicers as well as commingling risk. We believe that any replacement servicer would face the same regulatory and economic environment; therefore, we do not expect significant changes in servicing practices if the servicer were to be replaced.
- The restructuring charges are not subject to the lien that secures existing secured indebtedness of the Long Island Power Authority.
- We applied a stress scenario, discussed in the Cash Flow Analyses section, to test the liquidity support in years when scheduled principal amortization is light.

Prior Transaction and Proposed Future Transactions

The 2015 restructuring bonds are the second issuance of restructuring bonds by the issuer. The 2013 issuance of \$2,022,324,000 was the first securitization under the LIPA Reform Act, per Restructuring Cost Financing Order No. 1. Pursuant to the amended securitization law, the issuer may issue additional restructuring bonds subject to a cap of \$4.5

billion, including the 2013 issuance. Any additional issuance of restructuring bonds will be separately secured by distinct collateral, including separate restructuring property, created pursuant to a new financing order and transaction documents, including a separate trust indenture. Financing Orders No. 2, No. 3, and No.4 allow the issuer to issue additional restructuring bonds three times prior to Dec. 31, 2016. All such financing orders are substantively the same, and each permits the issuer to issue, additional restructuring bonds in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$4.5 billion.

Sector Outlook

Historically and in the past few years, some of the key factors for investors' interest in stranded costs securitizations are local economic conditions as well as the utility's ability to generate a constructive regulatory outcome. In New York, as is the case recently in a number of other states, securitization continues to be viewed as a tool for utilities to recoup costs efficiently, given recently passed legislation. This transaction is the second time LIPA has used securitization to recover phase-in costs under the LIPA reformed act passed on June 21, 2013, by the New York State Assembly and Senate (chapter 173).

Securitization Law And Financing Order

The securitization law aims to create the issuing entity and provide a legislative foundation for its restructuring bond issuance to allow the Authority to retire a portion of its outstanding indebtedness. The bond issuance and the retirement of some of the Authority's debt are expected to help customers save money on a net present value basis.

The securitization law authorizes the Authority to adopt a financing order approving the restructuring bond issuance.

The securitization law also provides that any financing order will be irrevocable after the time for any appeal to that financing order has lapsed. The securitization law requires that the issuer use bond proceeds to purchase the restructuring property from the Authority and to pay or fund upfront financing costs. It also requires that the Authority use the bond proceeds it receives from its sale of the restructuring property to the issuer only to pay approved restructuring costs that include, according to the financing order, repurchasing, redeeming, repaying, or defeasing some of the Authority's outstanding debt. Under the current law, the bonds are the only bonds secured by the restructuring property that the issuer may issue.

State Pledge

As a provision of the securitization law, the state has pledged to and agreed with the issuer, the Authority, the holders, and other financing parties that, until the bonds and any ancillary agreements have been paid and performed in full, the state shall not:

- Take or permit any action that limits, alters, or impairs the value of the restructuring property;
- In any way impair the rights and remedies of the Authority, the issuer, LIPA, the holders, or any other financing parties or the security for such bonds or ancillary agreements; or
- Except as permitted in connection with a true-up adjustment mechanism authorized by the securitization law and

set forth in the Financing Order No. 2, reduce, alter, or impair restructuring charges that are to be imposed, collected, and remitted for the benefit of the Authority, the issuer, the holders, and other financing parties, as applicable, until any and all principal, interest, redemption price, if any, ongoing financing costs, and all amounts to be paid to any assignee or financing party under any ancillary agreement in connection with the bonds have been fully paid or performed in full.

The Servicer And Customer Base

LIPA provides electric transmission and distribution services in a geographical area that includes the New York counties of Nassau and Suffolk (with certain limited exceptions) and a small portion of Queens County, New York, known as the Rockaways. The Authority and LIPA have entered into agreements with third parties to provide the service and maintenance functions in connection with their operations.

LIPA's service area includes approximately 1.1 million customers. Its peak usage--approximately 5,771 megawatts--was in the summer of 2011. As of December 2014, about 53.6% of the utility's annual electric revenues are from residential customers, with 44.1% coming from commercial/industrial customers and about 2.3% from street lighting, sales to public authorities, and miscellaneous others. LIPA's largest customer, the Long Island Rail Road, accounts for less than 2% of LIPA's total sales and revenue.

LIPA, acting as initial servicer pursuant to the servicing agreement, or any successor servicer as provided by Financing Order No. 2, will be responsible for the servicing of the 2015 restructuring property, including the billing and collection of the 2015 restructuring charges securing the 2015 restructuring bonds on behalf of the issuer. The issuer and LIPA will also enter into an administration agreement pursuant to which LIPA, acting as administrator, will perform certain duties on behalf of the issuer.

On Jan. 1, 2014, LIPA entered into an Operations Services Agreement with PSEG Long Island (PSEG-LI), whereby PSEG-LI will provide operations, maintenance and related services, including billing and collections.

Within the retail customer base, there are different customer classes classified by the type of electric usage (see Table 1 for the average number of metered customers by class).

Table 1

Service Territory Number Of Metered Customers As Of Dec. 31, 2014	
Class	Number of metered customers
Residential	999,565
Commercial	114,663
Street lighting	4,963
Other public authorities	131
Total	1,119,322

The total actual electric consumption compared with the forecasted electric consumption, in megawatt hours for the last five full years, are shown in Table 2.

Table 2

Total Actual Versus Forecasted Electric Consumption (In Megawatt Hours)

Class	Actual	Forecast	Variance (%)
2010	20,375,740	19,756,120	3.14
2011	20,156,783	19,831,948	1.64
2012	19,953,617	20,614,152	(3.20)
2013	19,931,093	20,460,570	(2.60)
2014	19,687,062	19,978,511	(1.46)

Structural Analysis

The bonds' principal will be paid sequentially according to an expected maturity date and interest will be paid pro rata.

If an event of default occurs, both principal and interest will be paid pro rata. A failure to pay principal by each class' expected maturity date is not considered an event of default. However, principal must be paid in full by the legal final maturity date of each series.

Our preliminary ratings on the bonds address timely interest and full principal payments by each class' legal final maturity, which is two years after the expected maturity date.

The following are events of default with respect to the bonds:

- Failure to pay interest or the redemption price within five business days when due and payable;
- Failure to pay principal by each class' legal final maturity date;
- The issuer fails to observe or perform any material covenant or agreement or on any representation and warranty and subsequent remedial action is not taken or cured within 30 days after the date the notice of default is given or the notice is given by the holders of at least 25% of the bonds' outstanding amount;
- A court with appropriate jurisdiction files a decree or order of relief under any applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, or other similar law that remains unstayed for 90 consecutive days;
- Commencement against or consent by a bond issuer of a voluntary bankruptcy, insolvency, or other similar law;
- The bond issuer consents to appoint a receiver, liquidation, or similar official;
- Making of a bond issuer of any assignment for benefit of creditors;
- The issuer fails to pay its debt; and
- The State of New York or any of its agencies violate the state pledge of financing order.

Acceleration of the bonds will remedy an event of default; however, in practice the bond payments will be made as and when the funds become available from retail customer payments. The following are events of default with respect to the servicers' role:

- Failure to remit payments within five business days;
- Failure to observe or perform any covenant or agreement and subsequent remedial action is not taken within 60 days after the date that the issuer, Authority, the allocation agent, the administrator, or the bond trustee gives written notice of the default or after an officer of the servicer discovers such failure;
- Any representation or warranty made by the servicer is incorrect and has a material adverse effect and continues unremedied for 60 days after written notice is given; and

- An insolvency event occurs with respect to the servicer.

Payment Waterfall

Table 3

Payment Waterfall	
1	All fees, costs, expenses (including legal fees and expenses) not exceeding \$800,000 in indemnities in each calendar year
2	The servicing fee for the payment date and any unpaid servicing fees from prior payment dates not to exceed 0.06% of the bonds' aggregate initial principal amount
3	Administration fee and all unpaid administration fees from previous payment dates will be paid to the administrator and amounts due to trustees of the issuer
4	All other ongoing financing costs for such payment date
5	Any overdue semiannual interest and second, semiannual interest
6	Principal due and payable on the bonds as a result of an event of default (assuming the bonds have been declared immediately due and payable) or on the final maturity date of a class/tranche of the bonds
7	Semiannual principal will be paid to the holders according to the bonds' maturity date schedule.
8	Indemnity amounts owed by the issuer to the trustee to the extent they exceed \$800,000 in each calendar year
9	The servicing fee for the payment date and any unpaid servicing fees from prior payment dates not to exceed 0.06% of the bonds' aggregate initial principal amount.
10	To the debt service reserve subaccount the amount, if any, by which the required debt service reserve level exceeds the amount in the debt service reserve subaccount as of the payment date
11	To the operating reserve subaccount, the amount, if any, by which the required operating reserve level exceeds the amount in the operating reserve subaccount as of the payment date
12	Any amount in excess over the required debt service reserve level will be retained in the debt service reserve subaccount to be applied to Items 5 and 7 above on the next payment date. Any remaining excess will be held in the account and applied on the succeeding payment dates.
13	The balance, if any, to the excess funds subaccount for distribution on subsequent payment dates

LIPA--Long Island Lighting Co.

Cash Flow Analysis

We stressed the expected-case cash flow analysis using the forward customer usage forecasts, assumed collections, and charge-off experience. The annual forecast to actual electricity usage differed among the customer classes. However, we believe that the stresses we applied to the collections in our cash flow analysis are sufficient to cover the levels of variance shown by the historical data across all customer classes, consistent with the assigned preliminary ratings.

We stressed the expected forward cash flows as follows: For all scenarios, we used the forward forecast of electric usage provided by each seller. We applied the starting recovery charges and adjusted the charge semi-annually based on stressed electric consumption. We increased annual charge-offs by 5x the highest actual annual charge-off percentage experienced by the seller. We applied a three-month expected collection curve to lag the actual collections based on historical data. The coupon rate on each class was set based expected market conditions for that debt at issuance.

We used four scenarios of revenue declines and collection stresses to assign the preliminary ratings.

Scenario 1

- Apply a constant 12% annual revenue decline to all users starting in the first year. Maintain this decline until a specified total cumulative revenue decline of 60% is achieved. Afterwards, maintain revenues flat for the duration of the transaction.
- Assume zero sales for December and January of each year for all users. This stress simulates an annual servicer default and replacement where parts of the collections are lost.
- Because the concentration of large industrial electric customers in the service territory is not large, we did not default the largest 10 industrial customers one year before the expected final maturity of the bonds.

Scenario 2

- Apply a constant 12% annual revenue decline to all users starting in the second year of the transaction. Maintain this decline until a specified total cumulative revenue decline of 60% is achieved. Afterwards, maintain revenues flat for the duration of the transaction.
- Assume zero sales for December and January of each year for all users. This stress simulates an annual servicer default and replacement where parts of the collections are lost.

Scenario 3

- Assume zero sales for December and January of each year for all users. This stress simulates an annual servicer default and replacement where parts of the collections are lost.
- Oscillate sales by first decreasing and then increasing sales by 20% per year over the life of the deal.

Scenario 4

- Assume zero sales for December and January of each year for all users. This stress simulates an annual servicer default and replacement where parts of the collections are lost.
- Oscillate sales by first increasing and then decreasing sales by 20% per year over the life of the deal.

Scenario 2 was added to address our concerns on liquidity in years when the principal amortization is light. In any given year, timely interest payments cannot be missed, whereas missed scheduled principal amortization can be added to the next period's true-up amount without causing an event of default (before the principal legal final maturity). This provision essentially turns scheduled principal amortization in that period into a form of liquidity support in addition to the transaction's funded and periodically replenished reserve account.

We reviewed the cash flow models for all four scenarios highlighted above. The cash flow results show that the bonds' interest is paid on time and that all principal is ultimately paid before the legal final maturity date for each series under each scenario. The main factor contributing to the principal payment by each class' legal final maturity is the true-up rate mechanism that adjusts the restructuring charge to recovery sufficient funds to repay the bonds as due.

Legal Considerations

The issuer is a special-purpose, corporate municipal instrumentality, body corporate and politic, political subdivision, and New York public benefit corporation. We expect that the issuer will comply with our bankruptcy-remoteness criteria. In rating this transaction, Standard & Poor's will review the legal matters that it believes are relevant to its analysis, as outlined in its criteria.

Related Criteria And Research

Related criteria

- Securitizing Stranded Costs, Jan. 18, 2001

Related research

- Cash Flow Analyses Are Not Generally Needed For Rate Reduction Bond Transaction Surveillance Due To True-Up Mechanisms, June 11, 2015
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis: Understanding The Effects Of Macroeconomic Factors On Credit Quality, July 2, 2014
- The Recession Hasn't Been Hard On "Ratepayer Obligation Charge" Bonds, July 8, 2009

In addition to the criteria specific to this type of security (listed above), the following criteria articles, which are generally applicable to all ratings, may have affected this rating action: "Post-Default Ratings Methodology: When Does Standard & Poor's Raise A Rating From 'D' Or 'SD'?" March 23, 2015; "Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions," Oct. 9, 2014; "Methodology: Timeliness of Payments: Grace Periods, Guarantees, And Use of 'D' And 'SD' Ratings," Oct. 24, 2013; "Counterparty Risk Framework Methodology And Assumptions," June 25, 2013; "Criteria For Assigning 'CCC+', 'CCC', 'CCC-', And 'CC' Ratings," Oct. 1, 2012; "Credit Stability Criteria," May 3, 2010; and "Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks," Sept. 14, 2009.

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.